RECEIVED

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

2010 APR -7 P 2: 14

COMMISSIONERS

Z CORP COMMISSION KRISTIN K. MAYES, Chairman OOKET CONTROL

GARY PIERCE, Commissioner PAUL NEWMAN, Commissioner

SANDRA D. KENNEDY, Commissioner 5

BOB STUMP, Commissioner

6

7

8

0

10

1

2

3

4

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE GENERIC PROCEEDING CONCERNING

ELECTRIC RESTRUCTURING ISSUES

IN THE MATTER OF THE GENERIC PROCEEDING CONCERNING THE ARIZONA INDEPENDENT

11 SCHEDULING ADMINISTRATOR 12

DOCKET NO. E-00000A-02-0051

DOCKET NO. E-00000A-01-0630

AMENDMENT TO SUPPLEMENTAL COMMENTS OF FREEPORT-MCMORAN COPPER & GOLD INC. AND ARIZONANS FOR ELECTRIC CHOICE AND COMPETITION

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

13

Freeport-McMoRan Copper & Gold Inc. and Arizonans for Electric Choice and Competition (hereafter collectively "AECC") hereby submit this amendment to its supplemental comments filed on April 2, 2010. On page 4, lines 14-15 of AECC's supplemental comments, AECC stated, "[h]owever, recent data shows that electric rates in the New York service area have actually decreased 49% over 2008 rates." We failed to cite the source of the information concerning the reduction of electricity prices in New York. The source is an article from Forbes magazine, a copy of which is attached as Attachment 1. Fahey, Jonathan "Power Moves." Forbes, April 12, 2010.

23

22

24

25

26

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

APR - 7 2010

DOCKETED BY

FENNEMORE CRAIG A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION PHOENIX

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 7th day of April, 2010. 1 2 FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C. 3 4 C. Webb Crockett Patrick J. Black 5 3003 North Central Avenue, Suite 2600 Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2913 6 7 Attorneys for Freeport-McMoRan Copper and Gold Inc. and Arizonans for Electric Choice and 8 Competition 9 **ORIGINAL** and 13 COPIES of the foregoing 10 FILED this 7th day of April, 2010 with: 11 **Docket Control** 12 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street 13 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 14 **COPY Hand Delivered and *E-MAILED** this 7th day of April, 2010 to: 15 *Lyn Farmer 16 Chief Administrative Law Judge Hearing Division 17 Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington 18 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 19 lfarmer@azcc.gov 20 *Janice Alward, Chief Counsel Legal Division 21 Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street 22 Phoenix, AZ 85007-2927 JAlward@azcc.gov 23 24 25

26

1	*Steven M. Olea, Director
2	Utilities Division Arizona Corporation Commission
3	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007-2927
4	solea@azcc.gov
5	
6	COPY MAILED this 7th day of April, 2010 to:
7	Robert Lynch
8	340 EAST Palm Lane, 3140 Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4529
9	Vicki Sandler
10	14402 South Canyon Drive Phoenix, Arizona 85048
11	Philip Dion
12	UniSource Energy Corporation One South Church Avenue, Suite 200
13	Tucson, Arizona 85701-1623
14	Daniel Pozefsky
15	1110 West Washington, Suite 220 Phoenix, Arizona 85007
16	Gary Yaquinto
17	Arizona Utility Investors Association 2100 North Central Avenue, Suite 210
18	Phoenix, Arizona 85004
19	Michael Grant
20	2575 East Camelback Road Phoenix, Arizona 85016-9225
21	Christopher Hitchcock
22	Law Offices of Christopher Hitchcock, PLC One Copper Queen Plaza
23	P.O. Box AT Bisbee, Arizona 85603
24	Peter Nyce
25	Office of the Judge Advocate General 901 North Stuart Street #713
26	Arlington, Virginia 22203-1644

1	
2	Dan Neidlinger Neidlinger & Associates
3	3020 North 17th Drive Phoenix, Arizona 85015
4	Lawrence Robertson, Jr. 2247 East Frontree Road, Suite 1
5	P.O. Box 1448 Tubac, Arizona 85646
7	David Berry
8	P.O. Box 1064 Scottsdale, Arizona 85252-1064
9	Jay Moyes
10	1850 North Central Avenue, #1100 Phoenix, Arizona 85004
11	Russell Jones
12	5210 East Williams Circle, #800 Tucson, Arizona 85711
13	Michael Curtis
1415	501 East Thomas Road Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3205
16	Kenneth Sundlof, Jr. Jennings Strouss & Salmon, P.L.C.
17	201 East Washington Street, 11 th Floor
18	Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2385
19	Kelly Barr SRP
20	P.O. Box 52025 M/S PAB221
21	Phoenix, Arizona 85072-2025
22	William Baker 7310 North 16 th Street
23	Suite 102 Phoenix, Arizona 85020-5276
24	1 Hooma, 1 Hizona 03020-3270
	A Company of the Comp

26

1	Kevin Higgins
2	215 South State Street Suite 200
3	Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
4	Jana Brandt Mail Station PAB 221
5	P.O. Box 52025 Phoenix, Arizona 85072-2025
6	John Wallace
7	120 North 44 th Street, #100 Phoenix, Arizona 85034
8	
9	Stacey Rantala 3333 K Street, NW, Suite 110
10	Washington, District of Columbia 2007
11	
12	By: Marin san joil
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

Attachment 1

even accounts receivable in the case of a business. The point is to put the government ahead of other creditors in case an asset is sold or the taxpayer goes bankrupt. But National Taxpayer Advocate Nina E. Olson argues Uncle Sam is shooting himself in the foot in some cases, given the impact the lien has on a delinquent's ability to earn a living, pay off his tax bill and even stay off the public dole.

Indeed, as IRS use of liens has climbed, the amount its collection efforts bring in, adjusted for inflation, has declined by 7.4% to \$27.2 billion. That decline is in part due to the weak economy, but it may also represent a tendency of draconian collection techniques to backfire. "Why is the IRS destroying the credit of so many taxpayers if doing so isn't furthering revenue collection?" Olson asks.

Once a taxpayer pays up, the lien stays in his credit file for seven years. Moreover, the IRS is reluctant to use a power Congress gave it to withdraw a lien so it doesn't blot a taxpayer's record. In one case a finance industry employee had paid most of his tab and stood to lose his job because of the lien. Olson intervened, and collections finally withdrew

the lien-after he was fired, she says.

The IRS has a task force examining its collection policies and recently reiterated that it wants to work with taxpayers in these hard times. But Robert E. McKenzie, a tax lawyer at Arnstein & Lehr in Chicago with a big collections practice, suggests that the growing deficit is pressuring the IRS to get tougher still. "Congress is very prone to pick on the IRS when the government is flush," he says. "Once we run out of money, Congress is more likely to engage a blind eye when the IRS uses brownshirt tactics."

COMPETITIVE JUICE

Power Moves

Volatile electricity prices and new technologies are finally allowing retail power sellers to deliver on the promise of deregulation.
By Jonathan Fahey

HE GIANT BRAIN THAT manages the electricity used by 27 buildings owned by Vornado Realty Trust around Washington, D.C. just got smarter. With a new service developed by Constellation Energy's NewEnergy subsidiary, Vornado can modulate the power used in those buildings as one in response to electricity prices. Vornado uses less power that way and pays less for it, says Sukanya Paciorek of Vornado.

This is how it was supposed to work when the federal government and 22 states began to deregulate the electricity market starting in the late 1990s. The hope was that power sellers would lower prices and devise creative sales contracts as they competed with each other

for customers. It didn't happen. Instead, prices rose, customers stayed with their old utilities, new generation wasn't added fast enough to keep up with demand, and utilities began to abandon the retail electricity sales business.

Now, finally, two big factors are getting electricity customers to shop around for power. After years of steady price increases, electricity prices have been bouncing around and generally falling, and technology once only available to big industrial customers is trickling down to smaller users. In the mid-Atlantic electricity service area prices fell 45%

in 2009, and in the New York service area the price was the lowest in the ten-year history of the market, 49% below 2008 levels.

Competitive retail providers, like NewEnergy or Direct Energy, offer rate plans that allow customers to lock in current rates for all or almost any portion of their usage. Customers can also opt to pay different rates based on when they use power or to get paid for using less power during certain peak periods. Now, with the use of so-called smart meters, small business and even some residences can communicate with providers and buy power more like big customers can.

When regulations were lifted in a big chunk of eastern Pennsylvania this year, 26% of customers representing 40% of the electricity load switched providers in just ten weeks. One reason is

price; another is that all its customers have smart meters.

Some buyers complain that deregulation still isn't working because it hasn't inspired power companies to build more plants. With today's low prices that won't change soon.

Those low prices cut both ways for firms like Constellation because its power plants earn less. In the meantime, its retail arm is using lower prices to lure more customers—all the better for Constellation when prices rise again.



